## PRIMARY AND INFANT SCHOOLS IN THE WOKINGHAM BOROUGH – SCHOOL YEAR 2022/2023 ALLOCATION (Please see key on last page for an explanation of the admission criteria for community and voluntary controlled schools)

School	Published Admission Number	Allocation Detail – Statement for Appeal Purposes
Alder Grove Primary School	60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. After co-ordination, the last child allocated came from Category 4 living 0.721 miles from the school. There were insufficient places for the remaining 23 children (20 in Category 4 and 3 in Category 6) who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Aldryngton Primary	45	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under oversubscription criteria A to E and 11 under criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 0.444 miles from the school according to the computerised mapping system used by the council's School Admissions Team. There were insufficient places for the remaining 30 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. The local authority will advise an appeals panel that the admission of further children would prejudice future infant class size.
All Saints CE (Aided) Primary	45*	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination. Places in the resource will be allocated by the Special Educational Needs Team.
Bearwood Primary	45	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
Beechwood Primary	60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination.
Colleton Primary, The	45	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
Coombes CE Primary, The	75	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination. 2 children were offered places at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Crazies Hill CE Primary	15	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination.
Earley St Peter's CE (Aided) Primary	70	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination. 1 child was offered a place at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Emmbrook Infant	60	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria A to E plus 9 in criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 1.294 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 6 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.

School	Published Admission	Allocation Detail – Statement for Appeal Purposes
Evendons Primary	Number 60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria A to D and 42 children in criterion E. The last child offered under criterion E lived 1.122 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 22 children (11 in criterion E and 11 in criterion G) and these children have been provisionally placed on the waiting list pending confirmation from the parents that they wish their child to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
Farley Hill Primary	60	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria A to E plus 9 in criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 2.125 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 4 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
Finchampstead CE Aided Primary	17	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination.
Floreat – Montague Park Primary	60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria A to D and 32 children in criterion E. The last child offered under criterion E lived 0.738 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 10 children in criterion E who have been provisionally placed on the waiting list pending confirmation from the parents that they wish their child to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Gorse Ride Infant	60	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
Grazeley Parochial CE Aided Primary	30	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria 1- 4 plus 19 in criterion 5. The last child offered under criterion 5 lived 1.247 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 3 children in criterion 5 who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Hatch Ride Primary	30	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria A to D plus 12 in criterion E. The last child allocated under criterion E lived 0.366 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 9 children (2 in criterion E and 7 children not in listed criterion) who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Hawkedon Primary	90	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under oversubscription criteria A to E and 30 under criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 2.838 miles from the school according to the to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 2 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.

School	Published Admission Number	Allocation Detail – Statement for Appeal Purposes
Hawthorns Primary, The	60	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under oversubscription criteria A to E and 8 under criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 0.537 miles from the school according to the to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 8 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Highwood Primary	60	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 1 child was offered a place at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Hillside Primary	60	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under oversubscription criteria A to E and 11 under criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 0.813 miles from the school according to the to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 10 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Keep Hatch Primary	60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
Lambs Lane Primary	30*	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria A to C plus 27 in criterion D. The last child allocated under criterion D lived 1.381 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 4 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
Loddon Primary	60	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
Nine Mile Ride Primary	50	Applications were ranked and approved by the Trustees of The Circle Trust in accordance with the school's published oversubscription criteria. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
Oaklands Infant	60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 2 children were offered places at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Piggott School (Charvil Piggott Primary School)	30	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. The last child allocated under criterion G lived 2.337 miles from the school according to the to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 2 children in criterion G who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Polehampton CE Infant	60	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under oversubscription criteria A to E and 3 under criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 1.80 miles from the school according to the to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 3 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
Radstock Primary	45	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 1 child was offered a place at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.

Number           60           45           90	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.  All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination  Applications were ranked and approved by the Trustees of The Circle Trust in accordance with the school's published oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria A- E plus 14 in
45	school following co-ordination. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.  All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination  Applications were ranked and approved by the Trustees of The Circle Trust in accordance with the school's published oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria A- E plus 14 in
	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination  Applications were ranked and approved by the Trustees of The Circle Trust in accordance with the school's published oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria A- E plus 14 in
90	Applications were ranked and approved by the Trustees of The Circle Trust in accordance with the school's published oversubscription criteria. Following co-ordination offers could be made to all those applicants meeting criteria A- E plus 14 in
	criterion F. The last child offered under criterion F lived 1.212 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 10 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
30	The Governing Body considered and ranked all the applications received. The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria 1 to 7 plus 13 children in criterion 9. The last child allocated under criterion 9 lived 4.285 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 1 child in criterion F who has been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
60	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria A to E plus 13 in criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 2.253 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 1 child in criterion F who has been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
60	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. After co-ordination, the last child allocated came from Category 6 living 0.448 miles from the school. There were insufficient places for the remaining 33 children (5 in Category 6 and 28 in Category 7) who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would cause infant class size prejudice.
20	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination.
26	The Governing Body considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination.
45	The Admissions Committee considered and ranked all applications received by the deadline in accordance with the school's oversubscription criteria. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher preference could be offered were allocated places after co-ordination.
90	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
56*	Applications were ranked and approved by the Trustees of The Circle Trust in accordance with the school's published oversubscription criteria. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination.
	20 26 45 90

School	Published Admission Number	Allocation detail – statement for appeal purposes
Wheatfield Primary	30	Wheatfield Primary School commissioned Wokingham Borough Council to rank its applications in accordance with the school's admission policy and the ranked list was checked and ratified by the Academy Trust Board. The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under First Priority to Fourth Priority plus 22 in Fifth Priority. The last child allocated under Firth Priority lived 1.186 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 6 children in criterion Sixth Priority who has been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
Whiteknights Primary	60	The school was fully allocated with applicants who qualified under criteria A to E plus 19 in criterion F. The last child allocated under criterion F lived 1.328 miles from the school according to the local authority's computerised mapping system. There were insufficient places for the remaining 16 children in criterion F who have been provisionally placed on a waiting list pending confirmation from parents that they wish to remain on the waiting list for the school. Admission of further children would prejudice infant class size.
Willow Bank Infant	60	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 1 child was offered a place at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Windmill Primary	30	Windmill Primary School commissioned Wokingham Borough Council to rank its applications in accordance with the school's admission policy and the ranked list was checked and ratified by the Academy Trust Board. All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 1 child was offered a place at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Winnersh Primary	60	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 1 child was offered a place at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.
Woodley CE Primary	45	All applicants who expressed a preference and where no higher ranked preference could be offered were allocated places at the school following co-ordination. 2 children were offered places at the school where no higher ranked preference could be offered as either the designated area school or the most accessible school with places.

Please note that for those shaded schools, places have been allocated by the governing body of the school as they are their own admissions authority and places were allocated according to their oversubscription criteria detailed in the Parent's Guide to Primary School Admissions, on school websites or at: www.wokingham.gov.uk/admissions.

<sup>\*</sup> Places at the Resource are to be allocated by the Special Educational Needs Team in accordance with the review of individual pupil assessments.

## KEY TO HOW PLACES WERE ALLOCATED IN COMMUNITY AND VOLUNTARY CONTROLLED SCHOOLS

The following oversubscription criteria, in order of priority, were applied when a community or voluntary controlled school received more preferences than places available:

- A 'Looked after' children or children who were 'looked after', but ceased to be so because they were adopted or became subject to a residence order or special guardianship order (previous 'looked-after' children)
- B Families who have exceptional medical or social needs as the grounds for their admission to a particular school
- C Children whose permanent home address is **inside** the schools' designated area and who has a sibling at the school at the time of application; who is expected to be attending the school when the child will enter the school.
- D Children whose permanent home address is in the school's designated area
- E Children whose permanent home address is **outside** the schools' designated area and who has a sibling at the school at the time of application; who is expected to be attending the school when the child will enter the school.
- F Any other children

For both community and voluntary controlled schools - all children with statements of special educational needs or an Education, Health and Care Plan that named a school in the statement or plan were admitted to the school that was named

If the school does not have sufficient places for children in any category, places will then be allocated on the basis of the radial distance using the local authority's computerised mapping system and the distance will be measured as a straight line between the Land and Property Gazetteer address points for the respective home address and school.

The Parent's Guide provides further information relating to each of the above oversubscription criteria